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- Airplane designs: Lockheed or Raytheon?
- Pollution control: Tax or cap-and-trade?
- Medical intervention: Surgery or pharmaceuticals?
- Military budget: Tanks or intelligence?
- Energy supply: Nuclear or fossil?
§ How good is:
- Our knowledge?
- Our knowledge about our knowledge?
- Our intuition about our ignorance?
- Our ability to use knowledge and manage ignorance?

Highlights
§ 2 Systems, 1 Test: Probabilistic Alg.
§ Info-gap uncertainty on pdf: Robustify.
§ $n$ Systems, $m$ Tests.
§ Source: http://info-gap.com

2 Two Systems, One Test

[^1] the price of one: Info-gap robustness of the 1-test algorithm, ISIPTA2011, 25-28 July 2011, Innsbruck, Austria.
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$\S$ One system tested: quality $x_{\mathrm{r}}$.
- Enhanced chance of success?
- Which system to use?
- It looks like 1 measurement can't help.
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§ Probability of success, $P_{\mathrm{s}}(q)$ :
Probability of choosing larger $x_{i}$.
§ Theorem (Thomas Cover, 1987): ${ }^{1}$
If tested system chosen with probability 0.5 , then $P_{\mathrm{s}}(q)>0.5$.

[^2]3 Two Systems, One Test, CDF Known
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$\S F(x)$ is known cdf.
§ Algorithm for choosing a system:
- If $F\left(x_{\mathrm{r}}\right)<\frac{1}{2}$, choose un-tested system.
- If $F\left(x_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, choose tested system.
$\S$ Theorem: $P_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{3}{4}$
Proof: Robert R. Snapp, 2005. ${ }^{2}$

[^3]4 Robustness of Two Systems, One Test
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$\S$ Cover's Theorem: $P_{\mathrm{S}}(q)>0.5$.
§ How to choose $q(y)$ ?
Can we beat $P_{\mathrm{s}}(q)>0.5$ ?
$\S$ If we know $p\left(x_{i}\right)$ then $P_{\mathrm{s}}=0.75$.
Can we achieve $P_{\mathrm{S}}(q)=0.75 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$ knowing $p\left(x_{i}\right)$ ?
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- $h$ is unbounded horizon of uncertainty.
§ Robustness, $\widehat{h}\left(q, P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ :
Maximum tolerable uncertainty.

$$
\widehat{h}\left(q, P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\max \left\{h:\left(\min _{p \in \mathcal{U}(h)} P_{\mathrm{s}}(q \mid p)\right) \geq P_{\mathrm{c}}\right\}
$$
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$$

- E.g., $\widetilde{\lambda}=1: P_{\mathrm{s}}(q \mid \widetilde{p})=0.67 \gg 0.5$
- Robust to uncertainty in $\widetilde{p}(x)$ ???


Figure 1: Robustness curves with $\widetilde{\lambda}=1$.
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Figure 4: Robustness curves with $\widetilde{\lambda}=1$.

## § Preference reversal.



Figure 5: Robustness curves with $\widetilde{\lambda}=1$.

## § Zeroing: no robustness of estimate.
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Figure 7: Robustness curves with $\tilde{\lambda}=1$.
§ Zeroing: no robustness of estimate.
$\S$ Trade off: robustness vs prob. of success.
§ Preference reversal.

- $\gamma=\sqrt{2} \quad$ more robust for $P_{\mathrm{c}}>0.62$.
- $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{2}$ more robust for $P_{\mathrm{c}}<0.62$.
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## § Theorem:

If tested system chosen with equal prob. then $P_{\mathrm{s}}(q)>\frac{1}{3}$.

7 n Systems, $m$ Tests
§ Hypothesized generalization to $n$ systems, $m$ tests.

8 Extensions
$\S$ Multiple attributes.
§ Adaptive testing.
§ Best possible probability of success.

9 Final Thoughts
$\S$ We began by asking the following questions.
How good is:

- Our knowledge?
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- Our intuition about our ignorance?
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$\S$ We began by asking the following questions. How good is:
- Our knowledge?
- Our knowledge about our knowledge?
- Our intuition about our ignorance?
- Our ability to use knowledge and manage ignorance?
$\S$ The 2-system 1-test example showed that:
- We are sometimes wrong about the answers.
- We should be ready for surprises.


## § A final thought on Optimism:

- Scientific optimism: We're approaching the truth.
§ A final thought on Optimism:
- Scientific optimism: We're approaching the truth.
- My optimism:
- We will always be surprised.
- Science will always continue.
- Uncertainty will never disappear.
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