- 40. Present worth, interest, inflation and uncertainty (based on exam, 27.9.2016) (p.115)
 - (a) Consider an *N*-year project with constant annual interest *i* and no inflation. The revenue at the end of year *k* is $R_k = (1+i)^k R_0$ where R_0 is positive. The cost at the end of year *k* is *C* which is positive and constant. The initial investment at the beginning of the first year is *S*, which is positive.

36

- i. Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the present worth.
- ii. Given that S = gC, $R_0 = C$, N = 10 and i = 0.04. Find the largest value of g for which the PW is non-negative.
- (b) Consider an *N*-year project with constant annual interest *i* and no inflation. The revenue and the cost at the end of each year are *R* and *C* which are both positive constants. The initial investment at the beginning of the first year is *S*, which is positive. Suppose that the present worth equals zero for specific positive values of *S*, *R*, *C*, *i* and *N*. Now suppose that *i* is increased while *S* and *N* remain constant. Which of the following must be true In order for the present worth to remain non-negative:
 - i. R C must increase.
 - ii. R C must decrease.
 - iii. R C must remain the same.
 - iv. The direction of change in R C depends on the specific values of S, N and i.
- (c) Consider a project with constant annual interest *i* and no inflation. The revenue and the cost at the end of each year are R and C which are both positive constants. The initial investment at the beginning of the first year is S, which is positive. The project will run forever, so $N = \infty$. Which of the following statements is true:
 - i. The present worth is infinite for any i > 0.
 - ii. The present worth is infinite only for any i > 0 that is also less than some finite value.
 - iii. The present worth is finite for any i > 0.
 - iv. The present worth is finite only for any i > 0 that is also less than some finite value.
- (d) Consider an *N*-year project with constant annual interest *i* and no inflation. The initial investment at the beginning of the first year is *S*, which is positive. The revenue and the cost at the end of each year are *R* and *C* which are both positive constants, and the revenue is proportional to the cost according to R = gC where *g* is constant but uncertain according to this info-gap model:

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \left\{ g : \left| \frac{g - \tilde{g}}{w} \right| \le h \right\}, \quad h \ge 0$$
(32)

where \tilde{g} and w are known positive constants. We require that the present worth be no less than the critical value PW_c. Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the robustness function.

(e) Repeat problem 40d with the following info-gap model:

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \left\{ g: \ g \ge 1, \ \left| \frac{g - \tilde{g}}{w_g} \right| \le h, \ \left| \frac{C - \tilde{C}}{w_c} \right| \le h \right\}, \quad h \ge 0$$
(33)

where \tilde{g} , w_g , \tilde{C} and w_c are known positive constants. Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the inverse of the robustness function.

(f) Consider constant monthly inflation f. You have a new job and the real value of your monthly salary, at the start of your first month, is R_0 . However, the job actually pays

you at the end of each month with a nominal sum whose real value is R_0 . What is your nominal salary at the end of the *k*th month?

(g) Continue part 40f and consider f uncertain according to this info-gap model:

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \left\{ f: \left| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{w} \right| \le h \right\}, \quad h \ge 0$$
(34)

where \tilde{f} and w are known positive constants. We require that the nominal salary at the end of the *k*th month be no less than S_c , which is positive. Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the robustness function.

- (h) You will invest S = \$1000 at time t = 0 in a project that returns constant nominal annual interest $i_{nom} = 0.08$. The constant annual inflation is f = 0.06. What is the nominal value of the investment after N = 12 years? What is the real value at that time? What is the real interest rate?
- (i) You will invest \$*S* at time t = 0 in a project that returns constant nominal annual interest i_{nom} . The constant annual inflation is *f*. However, both i_{nom} and *f* are uncertain, as expressed by this info-gap model:

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \left\{ i_{\text{nom}}, f: i_{\text{nom}} \ge 0, \left| \frac{i_{\text{nom}} - \tilde{i}_{\text{nom}}}{w_i} \right| \le h, \left| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{w_f} \right| \le h \right\}, \quad h \ge 0$$
(35)

where \tilde{i}_{nom} , w_i , \tilde{f} and w_f are known positive constants. We require that the real value of the investment at the end of k years be no less than R_c .

- i. Derive an explicit algebraic expression for the inverse of the robustness function.
- ii. What is the value of the robustness if $R_{\rm c} = 0$.
- iii. What is the value of the robustness if $R_{\rm c} = \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{i}_{\rm nom}}{1 + \tilde{f}}\right)^k S$?
- (j) Consider an N-year project with constant positive revenue R and constant positive cost C at the end of each year. The annual interest rate is i and there is no inflation. The initial investment, at the start of the first year, is S.
 - i. If S = 0, what is the lowest ratio R/C at which the project has a benefit-cost ratio (BCR) no less than one?
 - ii. If C = 0 and R > 0, what is the lowest value of S at which the BCR is less than one for all values of N?

Solution to Problem 40, Present worth, interest, inflation and uncertainty (p.36).

(40(a)i) The present worth is:

$$\mathsf{PW} = -S + \sum_{k=1}^{N} (1+i)^{-k} (R_k - C_k)$$
(501)

$$= -S + R_0 \sum_{k=1}^{N} (1+i)^{-k} (1+i)^k - C \sum_{k=1}^{N} (1+i)^{-k}$$
(502)

$$= -S + R_0 N - \underbrace{\frac{1 - (1 + i)^{-N}}{i}}_{\delta(i)} C$$
(503)

(40(a)ii) From eq.(503) we find:

$$\mathsf{PW} = -gC + NC - \delta(i)C \ge 0 \implies g \le N - \delta(i) = 10 - \frac{1 - 1.04^{-10}}{0.04} = \boxed{1.88910} \tag{504}$$

(40b) From eq.(501), the present worth is:

$$\mathbf{PW} = -S + \delta(i)(R - C) \tag{505}$$

where $\delta(i)$ is defined in eq.(503). The parameters for which the PW is zero are all positive, which implies that R - C > 0. From the geometric series that defines $\delta(i)$, e.g. the righthand sum in eq.(502), we conclude that:

$$\frac{\partial \delta(i)}{\partial i} < 0 \tag{506}$$

Hence, from eq.(505) we conclude that:

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{PW}}{\partial i} < 0 \tag{507}$$

Finally, we conclude that, if *i* increases from a constellation of parameters at which PW = 0, we see that R - C must increase in order for the PW to remain positive.

Thus statement 40(b)i is the only true statement.
(10c) The present worth is:

(40c) The present worth is:

$$\mathsf{PW} = -S + \delta(i)(R - C) \tag{508}$$

We note that:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \delta(i) = \frac{1}{i}$$
(509)

Hence the PW is:

$$\mathsf{PW} = -S + \frac{R - C}{i} \tag{510}$$

This is finite for any positive *i*. Thus statement 40(c)iii is the only true statement. **(40d)** The present worth is:

$$PW = -S + \delta(i)(gC - C) = -S + \delta(i)(g - 1)C$$
(511)

The robustness is defined as:

$$\widehat{h}(\mathsf{PW}_{c}) = \max\left\{h: \left(\min_{g \in \mathcal{U}(h)} \mathsf{PW}\right) \ge \mathsf{PW}_{c}\right\}$$
(512)

Let m(h) denote the inner minimum, which occurs for $g = \tilde{g} - wh$, so:

$$m(h) = -S + \delta(i) \left[(\tilde{g} - wh)C - C \right] \ge \mathsf{PW}_{\mathsf{c}}$$
(513)

EconDecMakHW02.tex

Hence:

$$\widehat{h}(\mathsf{PW}_{c}) = \frac{-S + \delta(i)(\widetilde{g}C - C) - \mathsf{PW}_{c}}{\delta(i)wC} = \frac{\mathsf{PW}(\widetilde{g}) - \mathsf{PW}_{c}}{\delta(i)wC}$$
(514)

or zero if this is negative.

(40e) The present worth is specified in eq.(511). The robustness is defined as:

$$\widehat{h}(\mathsf{PW}_{c}) = \max\left\{h: \left(\min_{g, C \in \mathcal{U}(h)} \mathsf{PW}\right) \ge \mathsf{PW}_{c}\right\}$$
(515)

Let m(h) denote the inner minimum. The info-gap model requires that $g \ge 1$, so define x^* to equal x if $x \ge 1$ and to equal 1 otherwise. Thus m(h) occurs for:

$$g = (\tilde{g} - w_g h)^*, \quad C = \tilde{C} - w_c h \tag{516}$$

Thus the inverse of the robustness function is:

$$m(h) = -S + \delta(i) \left[(\tilde{g} - w_g h)^* - 1 \right] (\tilde{C} - w_c h)$$
(517)

(40f) Your nominal salary at the end of the *k*th month is:

$$S_k = (1+f)^k R_0$$
(518)

(40g) The robustness is defined as:

$$\widehat{h}(\mathsf{PW}_{c}) = \max\left\{h: \left(\min_{f \in \mathcal{U}(h)} S_{k}\right) \ge S_{c}\right\}$$
(519)

Let m(h) denote the inner minimum which occurs for $f = \tilde{f} - wf$:

$$m(h) = (1 + \tilde{f} - wh)^k R_0 \ge S_c \implies \left[\hat{h}(S_c) = \frac{1}{w} \left[1 + \tilde{f} - \left(\frac{S_c}{R_0}\right)^{1/k} \right] \right]$$
(520)

or zero if this is negative.

(40h) The nominal value at the end of k years is:

$$A_k = (1 + i_{\text{nom}})^k S \implies A_{12} = 1.08^{12} \times 1000 = \$2,518.17$$
 (521)

The real value at the end of k years is:

$$R_k = (1+f)^{-k} A_k = (1+f)^{-k} (1+i_{\text{nom}})^k S \implies \left[R_{12} = \left(\frac{1.08}{1.06}\right)^{12} \times 1000 = \$1, 251.45 \right]$$
(522)

The real interest rate is defined by:

$$R_k = (1+i_\mathrm{r})^k S \tag{523}$$

Comparing this with the left hand part of eq.(522) we see that the real interest rate, i_r , is related to the inflation, *f*, and the nominal interest rate, i_{nom} , by:

$$(1+i_{\rm r})^k = (1+f)^{-k}(1+i_{\rm nom})^k \implies (1+i_{\rm r})^{-k}(1+f)^{-k} = (1+i_{\rm nom})^{-k} \implies (1+i_{\rm r})(1+f) = 1+i_{\rm nom}$$
(524)

Hence:

$$i_{\rm r} = \frac{1+i_{\rm nom}}{1+f} - 1 = \frac{i_{\rm nom} - f}{1+f} \implies i_{\rm r} = \frac{0.02}{1.06} = 0.018867$$
 (525)

(40(i)i) The real value of the investment at the end of k years is, from eq.(522):

$$R_k(i_{\text{nom}}, f) = \left(\frac{1+i_{\text{nom}}}{1+f}\right)^k S$$
(526)

The robustness is defined as:

$$\widehat{h}(R_{\rm c}) = \max\left\{h: \left(\min_{i_{\rm nom}, f \in \mathcal{U}(h)} R_k\right) \ge R_{\rm c}\right\}$$
(527)

Let m(h) denote the inner minimum, which occurs for $i_{nom} = (\tilde{i}_{nom} - w_i h)^+$ and $f = \tilde{f} + w_f h$. Thus the inverse of the robustness function is:

$$m(h) = \left(\frac{1 + (\widetilde{i}_{nom} - w_i h)^+}{1 + \widetilde{f} + w_f h}\right)^k S$$
(528)

(40(i)ii) From eq.(528) we see that m(h) is positive for all finite positive h. Also:

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} m(h) = 0 \tag{529}$$

Thus $m(h) = R_c = 0$ implies that $\hat{h}(0) = \infty$. (40(i)iii) From eq.(528) we see that $m(h) = R_c = \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{i}_{nom}}{1 + \tilde{f}}\right)^k S$ if h = 0. Thus $\hat{h}(R_c) = 0$ for this value of $R_{\rm c}$.

(40(j)i) The BCR is:

$$BCR = \frac{PW_b}{PW_c} \text{ where } PW_b = \sum_{k=1}^N (1+i)^{-k} R = \delta(i)R, \quad PW_c = S + \sum_{k=1}^N (1+i)^{-k} C = S + \delta(i)C$$
 (530)

S = 0 implies that BCR = R/C. Thus:

$$BCR \ge 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{R}{C} \ge 1 \tag{531}$$

(40(j)ii) C = 0 and eq.(530) imply:

$$\mathsf{BCR} = \frac{\delta(i)R}{S} \tag{532}$$

 $\delta(i)$ is:

$$\delta(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (1+i)^{-k} = \frac{1 - (1+i)^{-N}}{i}$$
(533)

From these relations we see that:

$$\frac{\partial \delta(i)}{\partial N} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \delta(i) = \frac{1}{i}$$
 (534)

Hence:

$$\max_{N} \mathsf{BCR} = \frac{R}{iS} \tag{535}$$

We require that $\frac{R}{iS} < 1,$ so we can assert:

BCR < 1 for all
$$N$$
, if and only if $S > \frac{R}{i}$ (536)